

ISSN: 2582-7219



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.206

Volume 8, Issue 6, June 2025



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Impact of Manpower Training and Development on Organizational Productivity and Performance

Shamsuzzaman Khan Quddus Ahmed Khan, Prof A.S. Mhaske, Prof S.S. Rokade

Dept. of Human Resources Management, MBA, HRM, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Maharashtra, India

Assistant Professor, Head of Department, MBA, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies,

Maharashtra, India

Assistant Professor, MBA, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management Studies, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT: In today's competitive and rapidly evolving business environment, manpower training and development have become crucial strategic tools for enhancing employee performance and boosting overall organizational productivity. This research paper explores the relationship between training initiatives and their impact on workforce efficiency, skill enhancement, and the long-term performance of organizations.

The study aims to investigate how structured training programs, skill development workshops, and continuous learning initiatives influence employee morale, reduce error rates, and improve overall output. The research is conducted using a descriptive methodology, including data collection through surveys, interviews with HR professionals, and case study analysis of organizations that have implemented robust training models.

The findings indicate that organizations that invest consistently in manpower development report higher employee satisfaction, better retention rates, and measurable improvements in productivity and innovation. Furthermore, training is found to be not just a tool for knowledge acquisition but also a motivator that fosters commitment, adaptability, and alignment with organizational goals.

The study emphasizes that training is not a one-time event but a continuous investment that must be tailored to changing technologies, industry trends, and employee aspirations. The paper concludes by recommending a proactive approach to training that is data-driven, employee-centred, and integrated with organizational strategy to ensure sustainable growth and performance excellence.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the modern organizational landscape, the role of human resources has evolved from a supportive function to a strategic pillar that directly contributes to business success. One of the most critical components of human resource management is manpower training and development, which serves as a foundation for building a skilled, competent, and motivated workforce. With globalization, technological advancements, and changing market dynamics, organizations are increasingly recognizing the importance of continuously upgrading employee skills to remain competitive and efficient.

Training and development refer to the planned efforts by organizations to facilitate employees' learning of job-related competencies, knowledge, skills, and behaviours. These initiatives are not only aimed at improving individual performance but also at aligning employee capabilities with long-term organizational goals. Effective training programs foster innovation, increase productivity, enhance employee satisfaction, and ultimately lead to higher organizational performance.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

In India, both private and public sector companies are now heavily investing in training modules, e-learning platforms, skill enhancement workshops, and leadership development programs. The benefits are evident—organizations that invest in employee development experience reduced turnover, improved work quality, and higher operational efficiency. However, many organizations still struggle with identifying the right training needs, measuring its impact, and integrating learning with actual job performance.

This research paper seeks to explore the direct and indirect effects of manpower training and development on organizational productivity and performance. Through an in-depth analysis of real-world practices, employee feedback, and HR strategies, the study will highlight how structured training contributes to business excellence and sustained competitive advantage.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Concept of Training and Development

Training and development are core functions of Human Resource Management that aim to enhance employee skills, improve competencies, and prepare the workforce for higher responsibilities. Armstrong (2014) defines training as a planned process to modify attitudes, knowledge, or skills through learning experiences to achieve effective performance. Development, on the other hand, is a broader, long-term educational process focusing on preparing individuals for future roles. Both training and development are investments that yield returns in the form of improved productivity, reduced turnover, and increased organizational adaptability.

According to Flippo (1984), training serves as a bridge between job requirements and employee present capabilities. It helps employees align themselves with technological changes, customer expectations, and quality standards, thus reducing the gap between actual and desired performance levels.

2. Training and Organizational Productivity

A vast body of research supports the argument that training significantly impacts organizational productivity. Goldstein & Ford (2002) emphasized that organizations with well-designed training programs experience improved employee performance, lower error rates, and higher output levels. Becker (1962) further argued that training enhances an individual's human capital, leading to measurable returns in performance and profit margins.

Studies by Huselid (1995) showed a positive correlation between training investment and organizational performance indicators such as sales growth, profitability, and customer satisfaction. Additionally, Noe (2017) found that effective training leads to faster skill acquisition and better problem-solving abilities, thereby minimizing time wastage and operational costs.

In manufacturing and service sectors alike, empirical studies reveal that when employees are regularly trained on operational procedures, customer service techniques, and safety protocols, productivity metrics such as output per hour and defect rates show significant improvement.

3. Impact on Employee Performance and Motivation

Training and development also play a crucial role in enhancing employee performance and morale. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959) identifies advancement, recognition, and personal growth as motivators—all of which are directly addressed through developmental initiatives. Robbins & Judge (2019) state that employees who receive continuous training feel more valued, leading to higher levels of job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Aguinis & Kraiger (2009) emphasize that training improves employees' confidence and decision-making ability, which reflects in higher performance ratings and fewer mistakes. Employees with access to regular learning opportunities are more engaged and tend to remain longer with the organization, reducing turnover-related costs.

Moreover, Kirkpatrick's Four-Level Training Evaluation Model (1994)—reaction, learning, behavior, and results—proves useful in assessing the effectiveness of training programs and their alignment with individual and organizational goals.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

4. Challenges in Implementation

Despite the known benefits, organizations often face several challenges in implementing training and development programs effectively. As per Salas et al. (2012), common issues include lack of management support, inadequate training needs analysis, poor content relevance, and weak post-training follow-up. Bassi and McMurrer (2007) noted that without clearly defined objectives and performance metrics, training efforts may not translate into measurable outcomes.

Furthermore, many firms struggle with evaluating the Return on Investment (ROI) of training programs. The absence of concrete data or benchmarks often results in reduced budget allocations or superficial training interventions that fail to address core competency gaps.

5. Conclusion of Literature

The review of literature establishes that training and development are not optional activities but strategic imperatives that determine organizational efficiency, innovation, and sustainability. Effective manpower development enhances technical skills, managerial competence, and adaptive behavior, which together drive performance. While the benefits are numerous, successful implementation requires careful planning, top-management commitment, and measurable alignment with business goals.

The upcoming sections of this research will explore how these concepts are practiced in real organizations and what measurable impact they have on productivity and performance across departments.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design

The study is based on a descriptive and analytical research design, which seeks to examine and interpret the relationship between manpower training and development programs and their impact on organizational productivity and employee performance. The descriptive aspect provides a clear picture of current HR practices related to training and development, while the analytical component focuses on evaluating the effects of these practices on measurable outcomes such as productivity, motivation, and efficiency.

This design is chosen to allow a deeper exploration of how structured training initiatives contribute to employee competencies and organizational growth, while also identifying gaps in implementation.

2. Objectives of the Study

- To understand the types and frequency of training and development programs implemented by organizations.
- To assess the impact of such programs on individual employee performance.
- To analyze the effect of manpower development on overall organizational productivity and efficiency.
- To identify the challenges and limitations organizations face in executing effective training.

3. Population and Sampling

The target population includes HR managers, team leaders, and employees from both service and manufacturing sectors. The study uses a non-probability purposive sampling method to select respondents who have undergone training or have been involved in organizing or evaluating training programs.

A total of 60 respondents were selected, comprising:

- 20 HR professionals or training coordinators
- 20 team leaders or department heads
- 20 employees from different functional areas

This combination ensures a comprehensive perspective from decision-makers, supervisors, and trainees.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

4. Data Collection Methods

Primary Data

- Structured Questionnaire: Distributed to employees and HR professionals to collect data on training frequency, content, methods, and perceived outcomes.
- Interviews: Conducted with HR managers and department heads to understand the planning, execution, and evaluation processes of training.
- Observation: On-site visits to study the training environment, facilities, and post-training evaluation methods (where possible).

Secondary Data

- Organizational reports, training manuals, HR policy documents.
- Research journals, previous academic studies, and industry white papers on training and development.
- Benchmark reports and case studies of high-performing firms with effective training systems.

5. Research Instruments

- Likert Scale-based questions were used in the questionnaire to measure attitudes, perceptions, and satisfaction levels.
- Open-ended questions captured in-depth insights and qualitative feedback.
- Interviews followed a semi-structured format, allowing flexibility while covering key themes.

6. Data Analysis Techniques

Data was analyzed using both quantitative and qualitative techniques:

- Descriptive Statistics (percentages, mean scores) were used to summarize employee responses.
- Cross-tabulation was done to examine the relationship between types of training and perceived impact on job performance.
- Content Analysis was used to evaluate open-ended responses from interviews and discussions, organizing them into themes such as "knowledge gain," "job application," "employee motivation," and "productivity outcomes."

7. Limitations of the Study

- The study is limited to a small sample size and may not reflect all industry sectors.
- It relies partly on self-reported data, which could be influenced by bias or limited awareness.
- Time and accessibility constraints limited in-depth observation across all organizations studied.
- ROI on training could not be evaluated with financial data due to confidentiality concerns.

8. Ethical Considerations

- All respondents participated voluntarily, and their identities were kept confidential.
- No organizational names were disclosed without formal permission.
- The research was conducted in line with academic ethical standards and ensured data integrity throughout.

IV. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected from employees, HR professionals, and department heads highlights a clear connection between manpower training initiatives and improved organizational productivity. Approximately 76% of employees surveyed agreed that the training programs they received helped them perform their tasks more efficiently. A significant number of respondents reported improvements in communication, time management, technical skills, and teamwork after undergoing formal training.

From the HR managers' perspective, structured training programs—especially those involving on-the-job learning, workshops, and e-learning modules—were considered the most effective. Most managers noted a visible reduction in operational errors, faster task completion rates, and improved service quality post-training. This aligns with previous research findings suggesting that investment in employee development directly correlates with performance improvements and better customer satisfaction.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Moreover, organizations that conducted regular performance reviews post-training saw higher levels of employee motivation and engagement. This indicates that training not only enhances skills but also instills a sense of value and growth among employees, contributing to lower turnover rates.

However, the analysis also revealed that lack of follow-up evaluation and absence of role-specific customization in training remain common issues. While training was appreciated, only 52% of employees felt the content was aligned with their actual job needs. This highlights the importance of conducting training needs assessments and establishing clear performance metrics before implementing development programs.

Overall, the findings affirm that effective manpower training significantly contributes to organizational productivity, efficiency, and employee satisfaction, but for maximum impact, such programs must be tailored, evaluated, and continuously improved.

V. CONCLUSION

This research study has clearly demonstrated that manpower training and development are essential drivers of organizational productivity and performance. Through both primary and secondary data analysis, it has been established that well-structured training programs contribute to enhanced employee skills, improved efficiency, reduced errors, and better job satisfaction. Organizations that regularly invest in the growth and development of their workforce tend to experience higher performance levels, greater innovation, and stronger employee retention.

The study highlights that effective training is not just a one-time event but a strategic, ongoing process that must be aligned with both individual job roles and organizational goals. Employees who receive relevant, timely, and job-specific training are more likely to contribute positively to their teams and the broader organization. Additionally, the research suggests that post-training evaluation, needs analysis, and feedback mechanisms are critical to ensure the impact of training is measurable and aligned with performance expectations.

However, challenges such as lack of customization, limited post-training assessments, and insufficient management support need to be addressed. To maximize the benefits of manpower development, organizations must adopt a data-driven, employee-centric training model that evolves with industry demands and workforce expectations.

In conclusion, manpower training is not just a cost but a long-term investment in human capital that directly fuels organizational success. By creating a culture of continuous learning and development, organizations can remain competitive, adaptive, and productive in a dynamic business environment.

REFERENCES

- 1. Armstrong, M. (2014). Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice (13th ed.). Kogan Page.
- 2. Flippo, E. B. (1984). Personnel Management. McGraw-Hill.
- 3. Goldstein, I. L., & Ford, J. K. (2002). Training in Organizations: Needs Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (4th ed.). Wadsworth.
- 4. Becker, G. S. (1962). Investment in Human Capital: A Theoretical Analysis. The Journal of Political Economy, 70(5), 9–49.
- 5. Noe, R. A. (2017). Employee Training and Development (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
- 6. Huselid, M. A. (1995). The Impact of Human Resource Management Practices on Turnover, Productivity, and Corporate Financial Performance. Academy of Management Journal, 38(3), 635–672.
- 7. Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). Organizational Behavior (18th ed.). Pearson.
- 8. Aguinis, H., & Kraiger, K. (2009). Benefits of Training and Development for Individuals and Teams, Organizations, and Society. Annual Review of Psychology, 60, 451–474.
- 9. Kirkpatrick, D. L., & Kirkpatrick, J. D. (2006). Evaluating Training Programs: The Four Levels (3rd ed.). Berrett-Koehler.
- 10. Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The Science of Training and Development in Organizations. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13(2), 74–101.
- 11. Bassi, L., & McMurrer, D. (2007). Maximizing Your Return on People. Harvard Business Review, 85(3), 115–123.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

- 12. Hersey, P., Blanchard, K. H., & Johnson, D. E. (2012). Management of Organizational Behavior: Leading Human Resources (10th ed.). Pearson Education.
- 13. Dessler, G. (2020). Human Resource Management (16th ed.). Pearson.
- 14. Nadler, L. (1984). The Handbook of Human Resource Development. Wiley.
- 15. Rao, P. L. (2008). Training and Development Practices. Excel Books.
- 16. Swanson, R. A., & Holton, E. F. (2009). Foundations of Human Resource Development (2nd ed.). Berrett-Koehler.
- 17. Boxall, P., & Purcell, J. (2016). Strategy and Human Resource Management (4th ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
- 18. Wright, P. M., & Nishii, L. H. (2007). Strategic HRM and Organizational Behavior: Integrating Multiple Levels of Analysis. CAHRS Working Paper Series.
- 19. Torraco, R. J. (2005). Work Design Theory: A Review and Critique with Implications for Human Resource Development. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 16(1), 85–109.
- 20. Saks, A. M., & Belcourt, M. (2006). An Investigation of Training Activities and Transfer of Training in Organizations. Human Resource Management, 45(4), 629–648









INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF

MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |